

ℓ_1 -like properties over some Lipschitz free-spaces

Colin PETITJEAN

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon

The 45th Winter School in Abstract Analysis
Svratka, January 14 - January 21, 2017

- 1 Introduction - Background information
 - Lipschitz free-spaces, basic properties
 - Little Lipschitz spaces and double duality results
- 2 Around some ℓ_1 properties
 - The Schur property
 - A bit further, embeddings into ℓ_1 -sums
- 3 A new family of examples
 - p -Banach spaces
 - Study of $\mathcal{F}(M_p^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$
 - Some questions

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$$Lip_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$$

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$Lip_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (Best Lipschitz constant of f)

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$$

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \quad (\text{Best Lipschitz constant of } f)$$

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$Lip_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$

$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$ (*Best Lipschitz constant of f*)

$(Lip_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

For $x \in M$, define $\delta_M(x) \in Lip_0(M)^*$ by $\langle \delta_M(x), f \rangle = f(x)$.

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$$

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \quad (\text{Best Lipschitz constant of } f)$$

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

For $x \in M$, define $\delta_M(x) \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\langle \delta_M(x), f \rangle = f(x)$.

Definition

Lipschitz-free space over M :

$$\mathcal{F}(M) := \overline{\text{span} \{\delta_M(x) ; x \in M\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset \text{Lip}_0(M)^*.$$

(M, d) pointed metric space with origin 0

$$\text{Lip}_0(M) = \{f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz} : f(0) = 0\}$$

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \neq y \in M} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \quad (\text{Best Lipschitz constant of } f)$$

$(\text{Lip}_0(M), \|\cdot\|_L)$ Banach space.

For $x \in M$, define $\delta_M(x) \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by $\langle \delta_M(x), f \rangle = f(x)$.

Definition

Lipschitz-free space over M :

$$\mathcal{F}(M) := \overline{\text{span} \{\delta_M(x) ; x \in M\}}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset \text{Lip}_0(M)^*.$$

Remark

$\delta_M : x \in M \mapsto \delta_M(x) \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ is a non linear isometry.

Proposition

The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following property :
 $\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists ! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with
 $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

Proposition

The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following property :
 $\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists ! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with
 $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

Proposition

The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following property :
 $\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists!$ $\bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with
 $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry.

Proposition

The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following property :
 $\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with
 $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry.

Remark

$$\mathcal{F}(M)^* = \text{Lip}_0(M).$$

Proposition

The Lipschitz-free space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following property :
 $\forall X$ Banach, $\forall f : M \rightarrow X$ Lipschitz, $\exists! \bar{f} : \mathcal{F}(M) \rightarrow X$ with
 $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \delta_M \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{f} & \\
 \mathcal{F}(M) & &
 \end{array}$$

The map $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X) \mapsto \bar{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ is an onto linear isometry.

Remark

$\mathcal{F}(M)^* = \text{Lip}_0(M)$. Uniqueness of the predual for : m. s. of finite diameter and complete and convex m. s. (\Rightarrow Banach spaces)

(Weaver, 2016)

Let M_1 and M_2 be two pointed metric spaces.

Let M_1 and M_2 be two pointed metric spaces.
Let $L : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a Lipschitz map.

Let M_1 and M_2 be two pointed metric spaces.

Let $L : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a Lipschitz map.

There exist $\hat{L} : \mathcal{F}(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_2)$ such that $\|\hat{L}\| = \|L\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes :

Let M_1 and M_2 be two pointed metric spaces.

Let $L : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a Lipschitz map.

There exist $\hat{L} : \mathcal{F}(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(M_2)$ such that $\|\hat{L}\| = \|L\|_L$ and such that the following diagram commutes :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_1 & \xrightarrow{L} & M_2 \\
 \delta_{M_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{M_2} \\
 \mathcal{F}(M_1) & \xrightarrow{\hat{L}} & \mathcal{F}(M_2)
 \end{array}$$

where δ_{M_i} is the isometry defined above :

$$\delta_{M_i} : x \in M_i \mapsto \delta_{M_i}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(M_i).$$

Examples

① $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$

Examples

i) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$

ii) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Examples

$$\textcircled{i} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Examples

$$\textcircled{i} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

Examples

❶ $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$

❷ $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).

Examples

$$\textcircled{i} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.

Examples

$$\textcircled{i} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.
- (RNP) / containment of L_1 .

Examples

$$\textcircled{i} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\textcircled{ii} \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.
- (RNP) / containment of L_1 .
- weakly sequential completeness / containment of c_0 .

Examples

i) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$

ii) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.
- (RNP) / containment of L_1 .
- weakly sequential completeness / containment of c_0 .
- ℓ_1 properties : (Schur), (Strong Schur), containment of ℓ_1 , embeddings into ℓ_1 sums.

Examples

i) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$

ii) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.
- (RNP) / containment of L_1 .
- weakly sequential completeness / containment of c_0 .
- ℓ_1 properties : (Schur), (Strong Schur), containment of ℓ_1 , embeddings into ℓ_1 sums.

Simple spaces ?

Examples

$$\text{i) } \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$$

$$\text{ii) } \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = L_1(\mathbb{R})$$

Godefroy - Kalton program :

Study the behavior of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ for "simple spaces M ", and look for properties such as

- Approximation properties : (AP), (BAP), (MAP).
- Existence of Basis or FDD.
- (RNP) / containment of L_1 .
- weakly sequential completeness / containment of c_0 .
- ℓ_1 properties : (Schur), (Strong Schur), containment of ℓ_1 , embeddings into ℓ_1 sums.

Simple spaces? Compact m. s., Proper m. s., Finite dimensional Banach spaces with any norm $\longrightarrow \ell_1, c_0 \dots$

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We define the two following closed subspaces of $Lip_0(M)$:

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We define the two following closed subspaces of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$lip_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\},$$

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We define the two following closed subspaces of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$lip_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\},$$

$$S_0(M) := \left\{ f \in lip_0(M) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \text{ or } y \notin B(0,r) \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We define the two following closed subspaces of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$lip_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\},$$

$$S_0(M) := \left\{ f \in lip_0(M) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \text{ or } y \notin B(0,r) \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Examples

① $lip_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$, and also $lip_0(X) = \{0\}$ for any Banach space X .

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. We define the two following closed subspaces of $Lip_0(M)$:

$$lip_0(M) := \left\{ f \in Lip_0(M) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < d(x,y) < \varepsilon} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\},$$

$$S_0(M) := \left\{ f \in lip_0(M) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \text{ or } y \notin B(0,r) \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x,y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Examples

- i) $lip_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$, and also $lip_0(X) = \{0\}$ for any Banach space X .
- ii) $lip_0(\mathbb{N}) = Lip_0(\mathbb{N})$, and also $lip_0(D) = Lip_0(D)$ for any uniformly discrete metric space D .

Definition

We say that a subspace $S \subseteq Lip_0(M)$ separates points uniformly (S.P.U.) if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in M$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists f \in S$ with $\|f\|_L \leq C + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

Definition

We say that a subspace $S \subseteq Lip_0(M)$ separates points uniformly (S.P.U.) if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in M$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists f \in S$ with $\|f\|_L \leq C + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

(Kalton) : $S \subseteq Lip_0(M)$ S.P.U. with constant C if and only if S is a C norming subspace of $Lip(M) = \mathcal{F}(M)^*$, that is :

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{F}(M), \|\gamma\| \leq C \sup_{f \in B_S} |\langle f, \gamma \rangle|.$$

Definition

We say that a subspace $S \subseteq Lip_0(M)$ separates points uniformly (S.P.U.) if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\forall x \neq y \in M$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists f \in S$ with $\|f\|_L \leq C + \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| = d(x, y)$.

(Kalton) : $S \subseteq Lip_0(M)$ S.P.U. with constant C if and only if S is a C norming subspace of $Lip(M) = \mathcal{F}(M)^*$, that is :

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathcal{F}(M), \|\gamma\| \leq C \sup_{f \in B_S} |\langle f, \gamma \rangle|.$$

Proposition (Weaver/Dalet)

(i) Let (K, d) be a compact metric space then

$$lip_0(K) \text{ S.P.U.} \Leftrightarrow lip_0(K)^* = \mathcal{F}(K).$$

(ii) Let (M, d) be a proper metric space then

$$S_0(M) \text{ S.P.U.} \Leftrightarrow S_0(M)^* = \mathcal{F}(M).$$

Examples

For M as follows, $lip_0(M)$ (resp. $S_0(M)$) is 1-norming :

Examples

For M as follows, $lip_0(M)$ (resp. $S_0(M)$) is 1-norming :

- ① (Dalet) : M countable compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.

Examples

For M as follows, $lip_0(M)$ (resp. $S_0(M)$) is 1-norming :

- ① (Dalet) : M countable compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.
- ② (Dalet) : M ultrametric compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.

Examples

For M as follows, $lip_0(M)$ (resp. $S_0(M)$) is 1-norming :

- i) (Dalet) : M countable compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.
- ii) (Dalet) : M ultrametric compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.
- iii) (Kalton) : $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$).

Examples

For M as follows, $lip_0(M)$ (resp. $S_0(M)$) is 1-norming :

- (i) (Dalet) : M countable compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.
- (ii) (Dalet) : M ultrametric compact (resp. countable proper) metric space.
- (iii) (Kalton) : $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$).
- (iv) (P.) : M some metric spaces originate from p -Banach spaces (to be specified in the last section).

Definition

Let X be a Banach space. We say that X has the Schur property if : $\forall (x_n)_n \subset X, x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\omega} 0 \implies \|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. (\omega = \sigma(X, X^*))$

The Schur property

Definition

Let X be a Banach space. We say that X has the Schur property if : $\forall (x_n)_n \subset X, x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\omega} 0 \implies \|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. (\omega = \sigma(X, X^*))$

Examples

① ℓ_1 has the Schur property. (gliding hump argument)

The Schur property

Definition

Let X be a Banach space. We say that X has the Schur property if : $\forall (x_n)_n \subset X, x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\omega} 0 \implies \|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$. ($\omega = \sigma(X, X^*)$)

Examples

- i) ℓ_1 has the Schur property. (gliding hump argument)
- ii) Infinite dimensional reflexive spaces are not Schur spaces.

Proposition

If a Banach space X has the Schur property, then it contains ℓ_1 hereditarily.

Literature : Schur property over some Lipschitz-free spaces :

Literature : Schur property over some Lipschitz-free spaces :

- ① (Kalton, 2004) : Consider $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where (M, d) is a pointed metric space and ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$). Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \omega \circ d)$ has the Schur property.

Literature : Schur property over some Lipschitz-free spaces :

- ① (Kalton, 2004) : Consider $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where (M, d) is a pointed metric space and ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$). Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \omega \circ d)$ has the Schur property.
- ② (Hájek-Lancien-Pernecká, 2015) : Let K be a countable compact metric space (resp. M proper m. s.). Then $\mathcal{F}(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}(M)$) has the Schur property.

Literature : Schur property over some Lipschitz-free spaces :

- ① (Kalton, 2004) : Consider $(M, \omega \circ d)$ where (M, d) is a pointed metric space and ω is a nontrivial gauge (typically $\omega(t) = t^p$ with $0 < p < 1$). Then $\mathcal{F}(M, \omega \circ d)$ has the Schur property.
- ② (Hájek-Lancien-Pernecká, 2015) : Let K be a countable compact metric space (resp. M proper m. s.). Then $\mathcal{F}(K)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}(M)$) has the Schur property.

Proposition (P.)

Let (M, d) be a pointed metric space such that $\text{lip}_0(M)$ is 1-norming. Then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the Schur property.

Theorem (P.)

Let (M, d) be a proper pointed metric space. Assume that :

- i) $S_0(M)$ S.P.U.
- ii) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP).

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow[1+\varepsilon]{} (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$.

Moreover the embedding is ω^* to ω^* continuous.

Theorem (P.)

Let (M, d) be a proper pointed metric space. Assume that :

- i) $S_0(M)$ S.P.U.
- ii) $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP).

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M) \xrightarrow[1+\varepsilon]{} (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$.

Moreover the embedding is ω^* to ω^* continuous.

Proposition

There exist a compact countable metric space K such that $F(K)$ does not embed into ℓ_1 .

Lemma (Godefroy-Kalton-Li)

Let V be a subspace of c_0 with (MAP). Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of V^* and a ω^* to ω^* continuous linear map $T : V^* \rightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$ such that :

$$\forall x^* \in V^* : (1 - \varepsilon)\|x^*\| \leq \|Tx^*\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x^*\|.$$

Lemma (Godefroy-Kalton-Li)

Let V be a subspace of c_0 with (MAP). Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of V^* and a ω^* to ω^* continuous linear map $T : V^* \rightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$ such that :

$$\forall x^* \in V^* : (1 - \varepsilon)\|x^*\| \leq \|Tx^*\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x^*\|.$$

Lemma (Kalton/Dalet)

If M is a proper metric space. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $S_0(M)$ is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to a subspace of c_0 .

Lemma (Godefroy-Kalton-Li)

Let V be a subspace of c_0 with (MAP). Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of V^* and a ω^* to ω^* continuous linear map $T : V^* \rightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$ such that :

$$\forall x^* \in V^* : (1 - \varepsilon)\|x^*\| \leq \|Tx^*\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|x^*\|.$$

Lemma (Kalton/Dalet)

If M is a proper metric space. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $S_0(M)$ is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphic to a subspace of c_0 .

Theorem (Grothendieck)

Let X be a Banach space. Then :

- ❶ If X^* has (MAP) then X has (MAP).
- ❷ If X^* has (AP) then X^* has (MAP).

Proof

$$(\text{Kalton/Dalet}) \implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M).$$

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

(Gronthendieck)*2 $\implies Z^*$ has (MAP) $\implies Z$ has (MAP).

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

(Gronthendieck)*2 $\implies Z^*$ has (MAP) $\implies Z$ has (MAP).

(G-K-L) $\implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F \subseteq (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$ where F_n are finite dimensional subspaces of Z^* .

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

(Gronthendieck)*2 $\implies Z^*$ has (MAP) $\implies Z$ has (MAP).

(G-K-L) $\implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F \subseteq (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$ where F_n are finite dimensional subspaces of Z^* .

Since $F_n \subseteq Z^*$ and $Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$, for every n there exist

$E_n \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $E_n \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F_n$ and $(\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1} \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$.

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

(Gronthendieck)*2 $\implies Z^*$ has (MAP) $\implies Z$ has (MAP).

(G-K-L) $\implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F \subseteq (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$ where F_n are finite

dimensional subspaces of Z^* .

Since $F_n \subseteq Z^*$ and $Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$, for every n there exist

$E_n \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $E_n \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F_n$ and $(\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1} \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$.

Thus there exist $E \subset (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$ such that $E \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F$.

Proof

(Kalton/Dalet) $\implies S_0(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z \subseteq c_0 \implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$.

But $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has (MAP) so Z^* has $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -(BAP).

(Gronthendieck)*2 $\implies Z^*$ has (MAP) $\implies Z$ has (MAP).

(G-K-L) $\implies Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F \subseteq (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$ where F_n are finite

dimensional subspaces of Z^* .

Since $F_n \subseteq Z^*$ and $Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} \mathcal{F}(M)$, for every n there exist

$E_n \subset \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $E_n \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F_n$ and $(\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1} \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} (\sum \oplus_n F_n)_{\ell_1}$.

Thus there exist $E \subset (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$ such that $E \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F$.

To finish, note that $\mathcal{F}(M) \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} Z^* \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} F \underset{1+\varepsilon}{\simeq} E$ and each one of this operator is ω^* -to- ω^* continuous.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\| \cdot \| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0.$$

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0.$
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|.$

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- (i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- (ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\| \leq k(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ where k does not depend on x and y .

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- (i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- (ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\| \leq k(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ where k does not depend on x and y .

Remarks

- (i) A quasi-norm define a locally bounded and so metrizable topology.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A quasi-norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\| \leq k(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ where k does not depend on x and y .

Remarks

- i) A quasi-norm define a locally bounded and so metrizable topology.
- ii) Conversely, if X is a locally bounded topological vector space, we can define a quasi-norm on X : Pick B a bounded neighbourhood of 0 and consider the Minkowski functional of B : $\mu_B(x) = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda^{-1}x \in B\}$.

→ Quasi-Banach = Quasi-normed + complete.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

① $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0.$

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- ① $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ② $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- (i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- (ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- (iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$.

Remarks

- i) $\|\cdot\|$ p -norm $\implies \|\cdot\|$ quasi-norm.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$.

Remarks

- i) $\|\cdot\|$ p -norm $\implies \|\cdot\|$ quasi-norm.
- ii) p -Banach = p -normed + complete.

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$.

Remarks

- i) $\|\cdot\|$ p -norm $\implies \|\cdot\|$ quasi-norm.
- ii) p -Banach = p -normed + complete.
- iii) If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a p -normed space, then $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|^p$ is a metric that define the topology of X .

Definition

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $0 < p < 1$. A p -norm is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that :

- i) $\forall x \neq 0 \in X : \|x\| > 0$.
- ii) $\forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$.
- iii) $\forall x, y \in X : \|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$.

Remarks

- i) $\|\cdot\|$ p -norm $\implies \|\cdot\|$ quasi-norm.
- ii) p -Banach = p -normed + complete.
- iii) If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a p -normed space, then $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|^p$ is a metric that define the topology of X .
- iv) (Aoki-Rolewicz) \implies Every quasi-normed space can be renormed to be a p -Banach.

Examples (p -Banach)

- ① ℓ_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $\ell_p^* = \ell_\infty$.

Examples (p -Banach)

- i) ℓ_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $\ell_p^* = \ell_\infty$.
- ii) L_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $L_p^* = \{0\}$.

Notations :

Examples (p -Banach)

- i) ℓ_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $\ell_p^* = \ell_\infty$.
- ii) L_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $L_p^* = \{0\}$.

Notations :

$$- \ell_p^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p) \longrightarrow M_p^n := (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p^p) = (\mathbb{R}^n, d_p).$$

Examples (p -Banach)

- i) ℓ_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $\ell_p^* = \ell_\infty$.
- ii) L_p with $0 < p < 1$, for which we know that $L_p^* = \{0\}$.

Notations :

- $\ell_p^n = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p) \longrightarrow M_p^n := (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p^p) = (\mathbb{R}^n, d_p)$.
- $\ell_p \longrightarrow M_p := (\ell_p, d_p)$.

Proposition (P.)

$$\mathcal{F}(M_p^n) = S_0(M_p^n)^*$$

Proposition (P.)

$$\mathcal{F}(M_p^n) = S_0(M_p^n)^*$$

Corollary (P.)

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(M_p^n)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(M_p^n) \xrightarrow[1+\varepsilon]{\hookrightarrow} (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$. Moreover the embedding is ω^ to ω^* continuous.*

Proposition (P.)

$$\mathcal{F}(M_p^n) = S_0(M_p^n)^*$$

Corollary (P.)

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $(E_n)_n$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(M_p^n)$ such that

$\mathcal{F}(M_p^n) \xrightarrow[1+\varepsilon]{\hookrightarrow} (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$. Moreover the embedding is ω^ to ω^* continuous.*

Those two results remain true for every finite dimensional p -Banach spaces.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define
 $\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t - s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is
continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define
 $\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is
 continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p.$$

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*, \|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*$, $\|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Fact 2 : $\exists C > 1, \forall R > 0, \exists \varphi : M_p^n \rightarrow M_p^n$ C-Lipschitz such that $\varphi = Id$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $M_p^n \setminus B(0, 2R)$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*$, $\|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Fact 2 : $\exists C > 1, \forall R > 0, \exists \varphi : M_p^n \rightarrow M_p^n$ C-Lipschitz such that $\varphi = Id$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $M_p^n \setminus B(0, 2R)$.

Fix $R > 2 \max\{\|x\|_p^p, \|y\|_p^p\}$ and consider the corresponding φ .

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*$, $\|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Fact 2 : $\exists C > 1, \forall R > 0, \exists \varphi : M_p^n \rightarrow M_p^n$ C-Lipschitz such that $\varphi = Id$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $M_p^n \setminus B(0, 2R)$.

Fix $R > 2 \max\{\|x\|_p^p, \|y\|_p^p\}$ and consider the corresponding φ .

Now define f_m by : $\forall z \in M_p^n$,

$f_m(z) = \omega_m(|F(\varphi(z)) - F(y)|) - \omega_m(|F(y)|)$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*, \|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Fact 2 : $\exists C > 1, \forall R > 0, \exists \varphi : M_p^n \rightarrow M_p^n$ C-Lipschitz such that $\varphi = Id$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $M_p^n \setminus B(0, 2R)$.

Fix $R > 2 \max\{\|x\|_p^p, \|y\|_p^p\}$ and consider the corresponding φ .

Now define f_m by : $\forall z \in M_p^n$,

$f_m(z) = \omega_m(|F(\varphi(z)) - F(y)|) - \omega_m(|F(y)|)$.

$\rightarrow f_m \in S_0(M)$.

Proof

Pick $x \neq y \in M_p^n$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$\omega_m : t \in [0, \infty) \mapsto \inf\{s^p + m(t-s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Then ω_m is continuous, non-decreasing, subadditive and $\omega_m(t) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} t^p$.

Fact 1 : (Hölder's inequalities) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \|x\|_1$.

$(\ell_1^n)^* = \ell_\infty^n \implies \exists x^* \in \ell_\infty^n$ such that $\|x^*\|_\infty = 1$ and

$\langle x^*, x - y \rangle = \|x - y\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|x - y\|_p$.

$F := n^{\frac{1-p}{p}} x^* \in (\ell_p^n)^*, \|F\|_\infty = n^{\frac{1-p}{p}}$ and $\langle F, x - y \rangle \geq \|x - y\|_p$.

Fact 2 : $\exists C > 1, \forall R > 0, \exists \varphi : M_p^n \rightarrow M_p^n$ C-Lipschitz such that $\varphi = Id$ on $B(0, R)$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $M_p^n \setminus B(0, 2R)$.

Fix $R > 2 \max\{\|x\|_p^p, \|y\|_p^p\}$ and consider the corresponding φ .

Now define f_m by : $\forall z \in M_p^n$,

$f_m(z) = \omega_m(|F(\varphi(z)) - F(y)|) - \omega_m(|F(y)|)$.

$\rightarrow f_m \in S_0(M)$.

$\rightarrow f_m(x) - f_m(y) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x - y\|_p^p$.

Proposition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Assume that :

$\forall x \neq y \in M, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \subseteq M$ and $T : M \rightarrow N$ $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz such that $lip_0(N)$ is 1-norming for $\mathcal{F}(N)$, $d(Tx, x) \leq \varepsilon$ and $d(Ty, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $lip_0(M)$ is 1-norming.

Proposition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Assume that :

$\forall x \neq y \in M, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \subseteq M$ and $T : M \rightarrow N$ $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz such that $lip_0(N)$ is 1-norming for $\mathcal{F}(N)$, $d(Tx, x) \leq \varepsilon$ and $d(Ty, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $lip_0(M)$ is 1-norming.

Using the finite dimensional case and previous result we obtain the following.

Proposition

Let (M, d) be a metric space. Assume that :

$\forall x \neq y \in M, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \subseteq M$ and $T : M \rightarrow N$ $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz such that $lip_0(N)$ is 1-norming for $\mathcal{F}(N)$, $d(Tx, x) \leq \varepsilon$ and $d(Ty, y) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $lip_0(M)$ is 1-norming.

Using the finite dimensional case and previous result we obtain the following.

Proposition (P.)

Let X_p be a p -Banach space which admits a monotone FDD (ℓ_p for example). We denote $M_p = (X_p, d_p)$. Then $lip_0(M)$ is 1-norming and thus $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$ has the Schur property. Moreover $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$ has (MAP).

Questions

i) $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual?

Questions

① $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual? $\mathcal{F}(M_p) \hookrightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$?

Questions

- i) $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual? $\mathcal{F}(M_p) \hookrightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$?
- ii) $X_p = L_p$ and $M_p = (L_p, d_p)$, Structure of $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$?

Questions

- i) $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual? $\mathcal{F}(M_p) \hookrightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$?
- ii) $X_p = L_p$ and $M_p = (L_p, d_p)$, Structure of $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$? w.s.c? Containment of c_0 ?





Questions

- i) $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual? $\mathcal{F}(M_p) \hookrightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$?
- ii) $X_p = L_p$ and $M_p = (L_p, d_p)$, Structure of $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$? w.s.c? Containment of c_0 ? Schur?

Questions

- i) $M_p = (\ell_p, d_p)$ isometric to a dual? $\mathcal{F}(M_p) \hookrightarrow (\sum \oplus_n E_n)_{\ell_1}$?
- ii) $X_p = L_p$ and $M_p = (L_p, d_p)$, Structure of $\mathcal{F}(M_p)$? w.s.c? Containment of c_0 ? Schur? (RNP)? Containment of L_1 ?

Some questions

-  G. Godefroy, *A survey on Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. 55 (2015), no. 2, 89-118.
-  F. Albiac and N.J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 233, Springer-Verlag, New York 2006..
-  N.J. Kalton, N.T. Peck and J. W. Roberts, *An F -spaces sampler*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 89. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
-  C. Petitjean, *Schur properties over some Lipschitz-free spaces*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01391.pdf>.